

Working Group Information	
Name of Working Group Event, Condition and Episode Project Group	
Name of Working Group Chair Edward Cheetham	Date 8 <sup>th</sup> April 2010
Which Committee(s) does this Working Group report to? Please tick applicable box(es) below.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Content Committee	<input type="checkbox"/> Research & Innovation Committee
<input type="checkbox"/> Technical Committee	<input type="checkbox"/> Quality Assurance Committee
Current Status	
What were the Working Group's most significant accomplishments in the last six months?	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Attempts to incorporate formal ontological principles into the groups approach to project resolution</li> <li>• Further worked examples for 'one code or more' and situation/kernel examples.</li> <li>• 3X conference calls (avg 5 attendees).</li> </ul>	
Is the Working Group presenting deliverables and/or making recommendations for consideration by the Standing Committee at the upcoming meeting?	
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No (If yes, please attach relevant briefing materials. As a reminder, this briefings must be available for circulation 3 weeks in advance of the Committee meeting so that Members have an opportunity to appropriately consider your suggestions and consult with colleagues.)	
What areas do you expect to focus on in the next 6 months?	
Whilst the group is keen to continue involvement and assistance in addressing the in-scope issues, there is agreement that the current volunteer project arrangement is not delivering on planned project timescales. An alternative approach is proposed to redirect efforts, functioning more as a SIG: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• improving our own understanding of the contribution that formal ontological principles can make to this project, as well as the understanding of the wider IHTSDO community. Consequently we plan to team up with the Concept model SIG in their attempts to arrange a number of educational sessions on this topic. The Event, Condition and Episode project group will be able to provide specific examples for discussion.</li> <li>• developing a more tightly scoped and costed project proposal to take one of the current project components to completion. It is noted that "X with Y, X due to Y" and "Immune hypersensitivity disorder/allergic disorder" are already prioritised IHTSDO content projects. The group would be keen to work with the IHTSDO in addressing these questions (especially to the development of a 'long-term fix' solution) if this was felt helpful.</li> </ul>	
Please list any deliverables/recommendations that you expect to bring forward for consideration by the Standing Committee in the next 6 months.	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Intention to work with the IHTSDO to develop a "resourced/costed" project plan for the following two project areas:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o "X with Y, X due to Y"</li> <li>o "Immune hypersensitivity disorder/allergic disorder"</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Drafts to be circulated before and refined during the Copenhagen meeting.</li> </ul>	
Is the Working Group making recommendations for consideration by the Standing Committee at the upcoming meeting?	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No (If yes, please attach relevant briefing materials.)	
Please see above and in attached. Suggestion is that the project group ceases trying to solve the original problems, and uses its experience to rework these problems into a number of costed project proposals. <b>If this is 'constitutionally' allowable then such an approach is felt to be a more rewarding and productive working arrangement.</b>	
# Telephone conferences last 6 months 3	# face-to-face meetings in the last 6 months 0
Office Use: IHTSDO Confirmation of Report Received	
Date 8 <sup>th</sup> April 2010	Forwarded to relevant Committee(s) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>